

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 08 2004

HUNTON &
WILLIAMS

OFFICIAL

HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP
1900 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-1109TEL 202 • 955 • 1500
FAX 202 • 778 • 2201

FAX

TO NAME: Examiner Jerry T. Rahl
FIRM: USPTO - Group Art Unit: 2874
FAX NO.: (703) 872-9306
PHONE NO.:

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 12

ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW IN MAIL: ☐ Yes ☒ No

FROM NAME: Thomas E. Anderson
DIRECT DIAL: 202-955-1808

MESSAGE

IF PROBLEM WITH TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT OPERATOR AT 202 • 955 • 1500 .

OPERATOR

DATE: June 8, 2004
TIME:
CLIENT/MATTER NAME: Confluent Photonics Corp.
CLIENT/MATTER NO.: 62687.000101

This communication is confidential and is intended to be privileged pursuant to the attorney-client privilege and the work-product doctrine. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service.

06-08-04 12:19

From-HUNTON & WILLIAMS

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 08 2004
OFFICIAL

T-571 P.02/12 F-419

Patent Application
Attorney Docket No.: 62687.000101
Client Reference No.: D-00004-US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: :
:
Paul S. Zdinak, et al. : Group Art Unit: 2874
:
Appln. No.: 09/866,272 :
: Examiner: Jerry T. Rahll
Filed: May 25, 2001 :
:
For: LOW LOSS FIBER OPTIC JUMPER :
WITH ELECTRONIC PRESENCE :
DETECTION :

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

Sir:

In view of the discussions between Examiner Rahll, Primary Examiner Ullah, and the undersigned Applicants' representative during the Examiner Interview on June 2, 2004, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration of the above-identified patent application in view of the following proposed amendments and remarks, which are believed to place the above-identified patent application in condition for allowance or in better form for consideration on appeal.